

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant responds herein to the Final Office Action dated November 2, 2006.

Claims 1, 3, 4-12, 14-23, and 25-33 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

The feature of “said URL address of said past-referred to file having been automatically created in said communication mode of said communication terminal” has been changed to “said URL address of said past-referred to file having been automatically stored in said communication mode of said communication terminal” in independent claims 1, 12, and 23.

It is respectfully submitted this feature of the independent claims is supported in the specification by, for example, page 20, lines 5-17, and page 21, lines 2-11.

Claims 1, 3, 4-12, 14-23, and 25-33 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Nielsen et al., U.S. Publication No. 2001/0030663 A1 and Oosterholt et al., U.S. Publication No. 2001/0008399 A1 and further in view of Casais, U.S. Patent No. 6,941,337. Reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Independent claim 1 has been amended to provide, in part, for, “[a] communication terminal accessible to a communication network, said communication terminal including: ... a third display mark which provides an access-related information allowing said communication terminal to access a past-referred to file stored in a computer device connected to said communication network, and said access-related information being linked to said file, said access-related information including a URL address of said past-referred to file; ... said past-referred to file having been referred to in a communication mode of said communication terminal, and said URL address of said past-referred to file having been automatically stored in said communication mode of said communication terminal when said past-referred to file was referred to.”

Independent claim 12 has been amended to provide, in part, for, “[a] method of controlling a communication terminal accessible to a communication network, said method including: displaying, in a stand-by mode of said communication terminal, at least one of: ... a

third display mark which provides an access-related information allowing said communication terminal to access a past-referred to file stored in a computer device connected to said communication network, and said access-related information being linked to said file, said access-related information including a URL address of said past-referred to file; ... said past-referred to file having been referred to in a communication mode of said communication terminal, and said URL address of said past-referred to file having been automatically stored in said communication mode of said communication terminal when said past-referred to file was referred to.”

Independent claim 23 has been amended to provide, in part, for, “[a] program to be executed to implement a method of controlling a communication terminal with access to a communication network, said program including: displaying, in a stand-by mode of said communication terminal, at least one of: ... a third display mark which provides an access-related information allowing said communication terminal to access a past-referred to file stored in a computer device connected to said communication network, and said access-related information being linked to said file, said access-related information including a URL address of said past-referred to file; ... said past-referred to file having been referred to in a communication mode of said communication terminal, and said URL address of said past-referred to file having been automatically stored in said communication mode of said communication terminal when said past-referred to file was referred to.”

Antecedent basis for the amendments to independent claims 1, 12, and 23 is found in the specification, for example, on page 20, lines 5-17, and on page 21, lines 2-11.

It is respectfully submitted that the amendments to the independent claims distinguish over Casais since Casais appears to teach that the URL address is created for a macro at the time of creation of the macro, (column 3, lines 6-10). The URL address in the independent claims, as amended, however, is stored at the time of referring to a file and is not created at the time of creation of a file, which would be analogous to the creation of the macro in Casais.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, allowance of claims 1, 3-12, 14-23, and 25-33 is respectfully requested.

Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the application, allow the claims as amended and pass this case to issue.

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING
SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY
THROUGH THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
EFS FILING SYSTEM
ON JANUARY 25, 2007

Respectfully submitted,



MAX MOSKOWITZ
Registration No.: 30,576
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP
1180 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-8403
Telephone: (212) 382-0700